**COMMISSION REVIEWER REPORT**

System to Institution Sponsored

**Center:**

**Address:**

**Supervisor(s):**

**Accreditation request:** System to Institution

**Reviewer:**

**Checklist:**

1. Indicate materials present and fees paid:

\_\_\_\_\_1.1. Material Submitted and Fees Paid (refer also to checklist for specific accreditation review process in the [*2016 Accreditation Manual*](http://www.manula.com/manuals/acpe/acpe-manuals/2016/en/topic/accreditation-manual))

\_\_\_\_\_1.2. Accreditation Review Request and Face Sheet ([Appendix 3](https://acpeincatl-my.sharepoint.com/personal/marc_acpe_edu/Documents/2016%20Appendices%20for%20Website/Accreditation%20Links/APPENDIX%203.pdf))

\_\_\_\_\_1.3. Statement from ACPE that center is in good financial standing

\_\_\_\_\_1.4. Statement from region that center in good financial standing

\_\_\_\_\_1.5. Center’s self-study/feasibility document

\_\_\_\_\_1.6. [Appendix 5 Part I, *2016 Accreditation Manual*](https://acpeincatl-my.sharepoint.com/personal/marc_acpe_edu/Documents/2016%20Appendices%20for%20Website/Accreditation%20Links/APPENDIX%205-Center%20%26%20Reviewer%20Checklist-Part%20I%20Assessment.pdf) ---Assessment of Student Handbook(s) & Curriculum(ae)

\_\_\_\_\_1.7. Report Part I and Part II

2. Recommendation(s)

3. Commendation(s), if any (See [Accreditation Appendix P-2](http://www.manula.com/manuals/acpe/acpe-policy-and-procedures/1/en/topic/accreditation-appendix-p-2) for more information and requirements)

**Review:**

1. Identify and critique the center’s self-study/feasibility document.
2. Evaluate the reviewers’ Part I report.
3. Critique the center’s response to the site team’s Part I report.
4. Review the team’s evaluation of the center’s response, Part II Report.
5. Record the reviewers’ recommendations, including standards’ citations.
6. Record your recommendations on the Report of Commission Work.

6.1. Concurrence or Disagreement with reviewers’ response.

6.2. Concurrence or disagreement with reviewers’ response with exceptions and/or additions, including recommended Standards non-compliance, deficiencies or notations

1. Additional recommendations and/or critique.

Presenter signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_