ACPE ACCREDITATION COMMISSION

**REGIONAL REVIEWER REPORT**

**New Pre-accredited Center, Addition of Supervisory CPE, Satellite Program Listing Site Visit**

**Center**:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Address**:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Supervisor(s)**:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Accreditation Request**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Checklist**

Indicate materials present and fees paid:

Check List: 1.Material Submitted and Fees Paid (refer also to checklist for specific accreditation review process in the [*2016* *Accreditation Manual*](http://www.manula.com/manuals/acpe/acpe-manuals/2016/en/topic/accreditation-manual))

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.1. Accreditation Review Request and Face Sheet”([Appendix 3](https://acpeincatl-my.sharepoint.com/personal/marc_acpe_edu/Documents/2016%20Appendices%20for%20Website/Accreditation%20Links/APPENDIX%203.pdf))

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.2. Statement from ACPE that center is in good financial standing

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.3. Statement from region that center is in good financial standing

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.4. Center’s self study/feasibility document

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.5. [Appendix 5, Part I](https://acpeincatl-my.sharepoint.com/personal/marc_acpe_edu/Documents/2016%20Appendices%20for%20Website/Accreditation%20Links/APPENDIX%205-Center%20%26%20Reviewer%20Checklist-Part%20I%20Assessment.pdf)

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.6. Site team recommendation(s)

\_\_\_\_\_ 1.7. Commendation(s), if any

**Review**

1. Identify and critique the center’s self-study/feasibility document.
2. Evaluate the site team’s visit.
3. Review the site team’s evaluation of the center’s response.

3.1. Record the site team’s vote.

3.2. Record the site team’s recommendations, including verbatim Standard citation(s).

1. Review the site team’s recommendations for commendation(s), if any.
2. Record your recommendations to the regional accreditation committee:

5.1. Concurrence with site team’s response.

5.2. Concurrence with site team’s response with exceptions and/or additions, including recommended Standard deficiencies or notations.

5.3. Disagreement with site team’s report and/or response including recommended Standard(s) deficiency(ies) or notation(s) or

5.4. Concurrence (or not) with site team’s recommendations for commendation(s).

1. Additional critique and/or recommendations.

**Reviewer signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**